arXiv:0808.0643v1 [math.FA] 5 Aug 2008

MOLECULES IN COORBIT SPACES AND BOUNDEDNESS OF OPERATORS

KARLHEINZ GRÖCHENIG AND MARIUSZ PIOTROWSKI

Abstract. We study the notion of molecules in coorbit spaces. The main result states that if an operator, originally defined on an appropriate space of test functions, maps atoms to molecules, then it can be extended to a bounded operator on coorbit spaces. For time-frequency molecules we recover some boundedness results on modulation spaces, for time-scale molecules we obtain the boundedness on homogenous Besov spaces.

1. Introduction

A remarkable principle of classical analysis states that an operator that maps atoms to molecules is bounded. Here an "atom" is a function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying certain support and moment conditions, and norm bounds. Atoms arose first in the study of atomic decomposition of real Hardy spaces [3] and singular integral operators on Hardy spaces (see [13, 24]). The notion of an atom was later diversified to adapt to the Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces [9], and then generalized to "molecules", which are functions satisfying norm bounds, moment and decay conditions (instead of support conditions), see [2, 9]. The resulting molecular decompositions of function spaces have been successfully applied to study the boundedness properties of Calderòn–Zygmund operators on Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces, see [8–11, 26] for some of the main contributions. The technical part of the proofs consists of showing that the operator under consideration maps smooth atoms into smooth molecules. Using norm estimates for atomic and molecular decompositions, one then obtains the boundedness of the operator. A similar strategy has been used in [14] to study a class of pseudodifferential operators on Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces.

In this paper we study atoms, molecules, and the boundedness of operators in the context of coorbit theory. In coorbit theory one can attach to every irreducible, unitary, integrable representation π of a locally compact group $\mathcal{G}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ a class of π-invariant Banach spaces $\mathrm{Co}Y$ that is parametrized by function spaces Y on the group $\mathcal{G}$. These so-called coorbit spaces possess a rich theory ranging from interpolation properties and duality theory to atomic decompositions and the existence of frames, see the series of papers [5–7, 15]. The best known examples of coorbit spaces are the Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces (by choosing the group of affine transformations on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the representation by translations and dilations) and the modulations spaces (by choosing the Heisenberg group and the

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B35, 46E35.

Both authors were supported by the Marie-Curie Excellence Grant MEXT-CT 2004-517154.

Schrödinger representation). An interesting recent example is the family of shearlet spaces of [4].

In the context of general coorbit spaces, the atoms are subsets $\{\pi(x_i)g : x_i \in \mathcal{G}\}$ in the orbit of the representation π for suitable $g \in \mathcal{H}$. One of the main results of coorbit theory establishes the existence of atomic decompositions with respect to such atoms [6, 15]. In the standard examples, these atomic decompositions imply the non-orthogonal wavelet expansions of the homogenous Besov spaces and the Gabor-type expansions of the modulation spaces.

Our contribution here is the introduction of molecules in general coorbit spaces and the study of their properties. Roughly speaking, a set of molecules is determined by an envelope function H on the group $\mathcal{G}$ and a discrete subset of positions $\{x_i\}$ in $\mathcal{G}$. See Section 3 for the precise definition. Our main result then shows that any operator that maps a set of atoms $\pi(x_i)g$ to a set of molecules is bounded on the associated coorbit spaces (Theorem 3.5).

We then investigate what the abstract theorem says for the concrete examples of the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg group and for the group of affine transformations. For the Heisenberg group we recover the notion of time-frequency molecules which were introduced already in [1, 18, 20]. Our main theorem implies the boundedness of pseudodifferential operators on modulation spaces [19,20]. The use of time-frequency molecules sheds a new light on mapping properties of pseudodifferential operators. For the group of affine transformations we investigate explicit time-scale molecules. Our main insight shows that classical smooth molecules are also time-scale molecules in the sense of coorbit theory. As an effortless application of our main result, we verify the boundedness of the Hilbert transform on homogenous Besov spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we summarize some of the standard facts of coorbit space theory from [6,15]. We recall the necessary definitions of function spaces on locally compact groups and of coorbit spaces, and then describe their atomic decompositions and Banach frames. In Section 3 we introduce the notion of molecules in the context of coorbit spaces and study their fundamental properties. This section contains our main result about the boundedness of operators acting on coorbit spaces: if an operator maps atoms to coorbit molecules, then it can be extended to a bounded operator on the corresponding coorbit spaces. Section 4 is devoted to make explicit the abstract theory for the case of the Heisenberg group and of the group of affine transformations.

2. Coorbit Space Theory

First we recall the concepts and required results from the theory of coorbit spaces. We work with functions spaces and representations on a locally compact group.

2.1. **Preliminaries and notation.**

In the sequel, let $\mathcal{G}$ be a locally compact group with identity e. Integration on $\mathcal{G}$ will always be with respect to the left Haar measure, and Δ is the the Haar modulus on $\mathcal{G}$. We denote by $L_xF(y) = F(x^{-1}y)$ and $R_xF(y) = F(yx)$, $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$, the operators of left and right translation. Further, we also need the involution $F^\vee(x) = F(x^{-1})$. The space of all bounded functions on $\mathcal{G}$ with compact support will be denoted by $L_0^\infty(\mathcal{G})$. Let χ_U be the characteristic function of the set U.

2.2. **Banach Function Spaces on $\mathcal{G}$.** We work in the context of Banach function spaces. We assumed that Y is a Banach space consisting of functions on $\mathcal{G}$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot|Y\|$ and that Y satisfies the following properties.

(i) Y is continuously embedded into $L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{G})$, the locally integrable functions on $\mathcal{G}$.

(ii) Y is *solid*, i.e., if $F \in Y$, G is measurable and satisfies $|G(x)| \le |F(x)|$ a.e., then $G \in Y$ and $\|G|Y\| \le \|F|Y\|$.

(iii) Y is invariant under left and right translations, i.e $L_xY \subseteq Y$ and $R_xY \subseteq Y$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$. If we denote $u(x) = |\!|\!| L_x|Y |\!|\!|$ and $v(x) = \Delta(x^{-1}) |\!|\!| R_{x^{-1}}|Y |\!|\!|$, the operator norms of translations on Y, then we require that
$$L^1_u * Y \subseteq Y \quad \text{and} \quad Y * L^1_v \subseteq Y.$$

We only work with pairs (Y, w), where the weight function w on $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies
$$\begin{aligned} w(x) &\ge C \max\{u(x), u(x^{-1}), v(x), \Delta(x^{-1})v(x^{-1})\}, \\ w(x) &= w(x^{-1})\Delta(x^{-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$
for some constant $C > 0$. In particular, $w(x) \ge 1$, $\|f|L^1_w\| = \|f^\vee|L^1_w\|$ and $Y * L^1_w \subset Y$.

We emphasize that the assumptions in coorbit theory concern mostly the weight w associated to Y, the main results hold simultaneously for the entire class of function spaces Y with the same weight w, and not just for an single Y.

The Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathcal{G})$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, and the mixed-norm spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathcal{G}$ provide some natural examples of solid Banach spaces on $\mathcal{G}$. If w is some positive measurable weight function on $\mathcal{G}$, then we define L^p_w to be the set of all measurable function F such that $Fw \in L^p$ with $\|F|L^p_w\| := \|Fw|L^p\|$. A continuous weight w is called submultiplicative if $w(xy) \le w(x)w(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$. A weight function m is called w-moderate if $m(xyz) \le Cw(x)m(y)w(z)$, $x, y, z \in \mathcal{G}$. It follows that L^p_m is invariant under left and right translations, if and only if m is w-moderate.

As a next ingredient, we need certain discrete sets in $\mathcal{G}$. Let $X = (x_i)_{i\in I}$ be some discrete set of points in $\mathcal{G}$ and U a relatively compact neighborhood of e in $\mathcal{G}$.

(a) X is called *U-dense* if $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{i\in I} x_iU$.

(b) X is called *relatively separated* if for all compact sets $K \subset \mathcal{G}$ there exists a constant C_K such that $\sup_{j\in I} \#\{i \in I,\, x_iK \cap x_jK \ne \emptyset\} \le C_K$.

(c) X is called well-spread if it is both relatively separated and U-dense for some U.

Definition 2.1. Given a well-spread family $X = (x_i)_{i\in I}$, and a relatively compact neighborhood U of $e \in \mathcal{G}$, we define the sequence space Y_d associated to a solid Banach function space Y to be
$$Y_d := Y_d(X) := Y_d(X,U) := \{(c_i)_{i\in I} : \sum_{i\in I} c_i\chi_{x_iU} \in Y\}, \tag{2}$$
endowed with the norm $\|(c_i)_{i\in I}|Y_d\| := \|\sum_{i\in I} c_i\chi_{x_iU}|Y\|$.

For instance, if $Y = L^p_w(\mathcal{G})$, then $Y_d = L^p_w(\mathcal{G})_d = \ell^p_{\widetilde{w}}$, where $\widetilde{w}$ is determined by $\widetilde{w}_i = w(x_i)$.

If $L^\infty_0(\mathcal{G}$ is dense in Y, then the finite sequences are dense in Y_d [6, Lemma 3.5(a)].

2.3. **Wiener Amalgam Spaces.** Let U be some relatively compact neighborhood of $e \in \mathcal{G}$. We define the local maximum function of F by

$$F_\sharp(x) := \sup_{y \in xU} |F(y)|, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}, \tag{3}$$

whenever F is locally bounded, in symbols $F \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}$. Given a Banach space Y of functions on $\mathcal{G}$ satisfying 2.2 (i)–(iii), the Wiener amalgam space $W(L^\infty, Y)$ is defined by

$$W(L^\infty, Y) := \{F \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}} : \quad F_\sharp \in Y\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|F|W(L^\infty, Y)\| := \|F_\sharp|Y\|. \tag{4}$$

Similarly, the right local maximum function is $F^R_\sharp(x) = \sup_{y \in U^{-1}x^{-1}} |F(y)|$ and the right Wiener amalgam space $W^R(L^\infty, Y)$ is defined by the norm $\|F|W^R(L^\infty, Y)\| := \|F^R_\sharp|Y\|$. By $W^R(C, Y)$ we denote the closed subspace of $W^R(L^\infty, Y)$ consisting of continuous functions. In several arguments we need the following convolution relation from [6, Proposition 5.2].

Proposition 2.2. *If* $(c_i)_{i\in I} \in Y_d$ *and* $H \in W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)$, *then* $\sum_{i\in I} c_i L_{x_i} H \in Y$ *and*

$$\Big\| \sum_{i \in I} c_i L_{x_i} H \,\Big| Y \Big\| \leq C \|(c_i)_{i\in I} \,|Y_d\| \|H \mid W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)\|. \tag{5}$$

The sum $\sum_{i\in I} c_i L_{x_i} H$ *converges unconditionally in* Y, *if* L^∞_0 *is dense in* Y, *and otherwise* w^* *in the* $\sigma(Y, L^1_w)$*-topology.*

2.4. **Coorbit Spaces.** Let π be an irreducible unitary representation of $\mathcal{G}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. For a fixed $g \in \mathcal{H}$, the abstract wavelet transform is defined as

$$V_g f(x) := \langle f, \pi(x) g\rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}.$$

The representation π is called square-integrable, if there is a non-zero vector $g \in \mathcal{H}$, a so-called admissible vector, such that $V_g g \in L^2(\mathcal{G})$. The main ingredient in coorbit space theory is a reproducing formula of the form

$$V_g f = V_g f * V_g g, \qquad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{H}, \tag{6}$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution on $\mathcal{G}$. Reproducing formulae are known to hold for many types of representations. In particular, (6) holds for every square-integrable, irreducible representation π of $\mathcal{G}$ [21] and also for many reducible square-integrable representations, see [12, 16]. In order to introduce the coorbit spaces we first need to extend the definition of the abstract wavelet transform to a suitable space of distributions. We define the following class of analyzing vectors

$$\mathbb{A}_w := \{g \in \mathcal{H} : \quad V_g g \in L^1_w\}.$$

Let us assume that $\mathbb{A}_w$ is non-trivial, i.e., π is integrable, then π is also square-integrable. For a fixed $g \in \mathbb{A}_w \setminus \{0\}$ we define

$$\mathcal{H}_w^1 := \{f \in \mathcal{H} : \quad V_g f \in L_w^1\}$$

endowed with the norm $\|f|\mathcal{H}_w^1\| := \|V_g f|L_w^1\|$. Further, we denote by $(\mathcal{H}_w^1)^\urcorner$ the anti-dual, i.e., the space of all bounded conjugate-linear functionals on $\mathcal{H}_w^1$. An equivalent norm on $(\mathcal{H}_w^1)^\urcorner$ is given by $\|V_g f|L_{1/w}^\infty\|$. Since the inner product on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ extends to a sesquilinear form on $(\mathcal{H}_w^1)^\urcorner \times \mathcal{H}_w^1$, the extended representation coefficients

$$V_g f(x) = \langle f, \pi(x) g\rangle, \quad f \in (\mathcal{H}_w^1)^\urcorner, \quad g \in \mathbb{A}_w$$

are well-defined. We are now in a position to define coorbit spaces.

Definition 2.3. Let Y be a solid Banach space of functions on $\mathcal{G}$ with canonical weight w. Then for $g \in \mathbb{A}_w, g \neq 0$, the coorbit space is defined by

$$\mathrm{Co}Y := \{f \in (\mathcal{H}_w^1)^\urcorner : \quad V_g f \in Y\}$$

with the norm $\|f|\mathrm{Co}Y\| := \|V_g f|\, Y\|$.

Remark 2.4. $\mathcal{H}_w^1$, $(\mathcal{H}_w^1)^\urcorner$, and $\mathrm{Co}Y$ are π-invariant Banach spaces. If π is irreducible, then their definitions do not depend on the choice of the analyzing vector g in the sense that different windows provide equivalent norms [6, Thm. 4.2].

2.5. **Atomic Decomposition and Banach Frames.** Next we describe atomic decompositions and Banach frames in coorbit spaces as outlined in [6, 7, 15]. The treatment of coherent frames for $\mathrm{Co}Y$ requires a further restriction of the set of analyzing vectors. The set of "better vectors" is given by

$$\mathbb{B}_w := \{g \in \mathcal{H} : \quad V_g g \in W^R(L^\infty, L_w^1)\}.$$

If $\mathcal{A}_w \neq \emptyset$, then also $\mathcal{B}_w \neq \emptyset$.

Below we summarize the results about the existence of atomic decompositions and frames from [15, Theorem U].

Theorem 2.5. *Let Y satisfy 2.2 (i)-(iii) with canonical weight w given by* (1) *and assume that $g \in \mathbb{B}_w, g \neq 0$. Then there exists a neighborhood U of e such that for any U-dense and relatively separated family $X = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{G}$ the set $\{\pi(x_i) g\}_{i \in I}$ provides an atomic decomposition and a Banach frame for* $\mathrm{Co}Y$.

A. (Atomic decomposition): *Every $f \in \mathrm{Co}Y$ possesses an expansion*

$$f = \sum_{i \in I} c_i(f) \pi(x_i) g, \tag{7}$$

where the sequence of coefficients $(c_i(f))_{i \in I}$ depends linearly on f and satisfies

$$\|(c_i(f))_{i \in I}|Y_d\| \leq C \|f|\mathrm{Co}Y\|$$

with a constant C depending only of g.
Conversely, if $(c_i)_{i \in I} \in Y_d$, then $f = \sum_{i \in I} c_i \pi(x_i) g$ is in $\mathrm{Co}Y$ *and*

$$\|f|\mathrm{Co}Y\| \leq C' \|(c_i)_{i \in I}|Y_d\|.$$

The series defining f converges unconditionally in the normof $\mathrm{Co}Y$*, if* $L_0^\infty(\mathcal{G})$ *is dense in* Y*, otherwise it converges unconditionally in the weak–* topology of* $(\mathcal{H}_w^1)^\urcorner$*.*

B. (Banach frames): $\{\pi(x_i)g\}_{i\in I}$ *is a Banach frame for* $\mathrm{Co}Y$*. This means that*

(i) *There are two constants* $C_1, C_2 > 0$ *depending only on* g *such that*

$$C_1\|f|\mathrm{Co}Y\| \le \|(\langle f, \pi(x_i)g\rangle)_{i\in I}|Y_d\| \le C_2\|f|\mathrm{Co}Y\|.$$

(ii) *(Reconstruction operator) There exists a bounded mapping* R *from* $Y_d(X)$ *onto* $\mathrm{Co}Y$*, such that* $f = R(\langle f, \pi(x_i)g\rangle_{i\in I})$*.*

C. (Dual frames): *There exists a "dual frame"* $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ *in* $\mathcal{H}_w^1$*, such that, for every* $f \in \mathrm{Co}Y$*,*

$$f = \sum_{i\in I}\langle f, e_i\rangle \pi(x_i)g\,,$$

and $\|(\langle f, e_i\rangle)_{i\in I}|Y_d\|$ *is an equivalent norm on* $\mathrm{Co}Y$*.*

3. Molecules in Coorbit Space Theory

In this section we introduce the notion of molecules in coorbit spaces and state and prove our main result.

Definition 3.1. Assume that $g \in \mathbb{B}_w, g \neq 0$, and let $X = (x_i)_{i\in I}$ be a well-spread family in $\mathcal{G}$. A collection of functions $\{m_i\}_{i\in I} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is called a *set of molecules*, if there exists an envelope function $H \in W^R(L^\infty, L_w^1)$ such that

$$|V_g m_i(z)| \le L_{x_i}H(z), \quad i \in I. \tag{8}$$

Remark 3.2. We may think of $\mathcal{G}$ as a kind of phase space and the function $V_g f$ (for fixed $g \neq 0$) as a phase-space representation of f. The molecule m_i is then localized at $x_i \in \mathcal{G}$ and a set of molecules has a uniform envelope in phase-space. In other words, each molecule possesses the same phase-space concentration.

Example 3.3. 1. Every set of atoms $\{\pi(x_i)g\}_{i\in I}$ for $g \in \mathcal{B}_w$ is a set of molecules in the sense of Definition 3.1, because $|\langle\pi(x_i)g, \pi(z)g\rangle| = |\langle g, \pi(x_i^{-1}z)g\rangle| = L_{x_i}|V_g g(z)|$ and $V_g g \in W^R(L^\infty, L_w^1)$.

2. Fix $g_0 \in \mathbb{B}_w$ and a positive function $H \in W^R(L^\infty, L_w^1)$, and set

$$C_{\mathcal{H}} := \{g \in \mathcal{H} : \quad |V_{g_0}g(x)| \le H(x)\}.$$

If $X = (x_i)_{i\in I}$ is well-spread and $g_i \in C_{\mathcal{H}}$, then

$$|V_{g_0}(\pi(x_i)g_i)(z)| = |\langle\pi(x_i)g_i, \pi(z)g_0\rangle| = |L_{x_i}V_{g_0}g(z)| \le L_{x_i}H(z)$$

and so the set $\{m_i = \pi(x_i)g_i\}_{i\in I}$ forms a family of H-molecules.

In preparation for the main result, we verify the following basic properties of molecules.

Lemma 3.4. *(i) The definition of molecules does not depend on the particular choice of the window* $g \in \mathbb{B}_w$*.*

(ii) ***Synthesis.*** *Let $\{m_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a set of molecules subordinated to $H \in W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)$. The synthesis operator $(c_i)_{i\in I} \to \sum_{i\in I} c_i m_i$ is bounded from Y_d to $\mathrm{Co}Y$. If $(c_i)_{i\in I} \in Y_d$, then $f = \sum_{i\in I} c_i m_i \in \mathrm{Co}Y$ and*

$$\Big\| \sum_{i\in I} c_i m_i \ |\mathrm{Co}Y\Big\| \le C\|(c_i)_{i\in I} \ |Y_d\|\|H|\ W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)\| \,, \tag{9}$$

for some constant C. The sum defining f converges unconditionally, whenever $L^\infty_0(\mathcal{G})$ is dense in Y, and in the w^*-sense on $(\mathcal{H}^1_w)^\urcorner$ otherwise.

(iii) ***Analysis.*** *If, in addition, $H \in W(L^\infty, L^1_w)$, then the coefficient operator $Cf := (\langle f, m_i\rangle)_{i\in I}$ is bounded from $\mathrm{Co}Y$ to Y_d with*

$$\|(\langle f, m_i\rangle)_{i\in I} \ |Y_d\| \le C\|f \ |\mathrm{Co}Y\|.$$

Proof. To prove *(i)* we assume that $g, h \in \mathbb{B}_w$ and that $\{\pi(z_j)g : j \in J\}$ is a (Banach) frame for $\mathcal{H}^1_w$. After plugging the frame expansion of $h = \sum_{j\in J}\langle h, e_j\rangle \pi(z_j)g$, where the sequence $(c_j)_{j\in I}$ with $c_j := |\langle h, e_j\rangle|$ is in $\ell^1_{\widetilde{w}}$, into (8) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |V_h m_i(z)| = |\langle m_i, \pi(z)h\rangle)| &\le \sum_{j\in I} |\langle h, e_j\rangle||\langle m_i, \pi(z)\pi(z_j)g\rangle| \\ &\le \sum_{j\in I} c_j L_{x_i} H(zz_j) = L_{x_i}\Big(\sum_{j\in I} c_j R_{z_j} H(z)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Since $W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)$ is invariant under right translations, we find that $\widetilde{H} = \sum_{j\in I} c_j R_{z_j} H \in W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)$, and (8) is satisfied for h in place of g with the envelope function $\widetilde{H}$.

For the proof of *(ii)* we assume first that $L^\infty_0(\mathcal{G})$ is dense in Y. In this case, the finite sequences are dense in Y_d by [6, Lemma 3.5] and thus it suffices to prove (9) for finite sequences. If $\mathrm{supp}(c)$ is finite, then by the solidity of Y and the property of molecules we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| \sum_{i\in I} c_i m_i |\mathrm{Co}Y\Big\| &= \Big\| V_g\Big(\sum_{i\in I} c_i m_i\Big) \ \Big|Y\Big\| \le \Big\| \sum_{i\in I} |c_i||V_g m_i| \ \Big|Y\Big\| \\ &\le \Big\| \sum_{i\in I} |c_i| L_{x_i} H \ \Big|Y\Big\| \le \ C\|(c_i)_{i\in I} \ |Y_d\|\|H \mid W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)\|. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality above follows immediately from Proposition 2.2. This norm estimate also implies the unconditional convergence in $\mathrm{Co}Y$.

If $L^\infty_0(\mathcal{G})$ is not dense in Y, then still $\sum_{i\in I} |c_i| L_{x_i} H \in Y$, but the sum converges only in the weak-* sense. Thus the above estimate still holds, and $\sum_{i\in I} c_i m_i \in \mathrm{Co}Y$ is w^*-convergent.

Finally, we show *(iii)*. By virtue of Theorem 2.5, every $f \in \mathrm{Co}Y$ possesses an expansion

$$f = \sum_{j\in J} c_j \pi(z_j) g$$

with $(c_j)_{j\in J} \in Y_d$ and $\|(c_j)_{j\in J}|Y_d\| \le C\|f|\mathrm{Co}Y\|$. Plugging again the above expansion yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f, m_i\rangle)| &\le \sum_{j\in J} |c_j|\,|\langle \pi(z_j)g, m_i\rangle| \\ &\le \sum_{j\in J} c_j H(x_i^{-1}z_j) = \sum_{j\in J} c_j L_{z_j} H^\vee(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\langle f, m_i\rangle)_{i\in I}\;|Y_d\| &\le \Big\| \sum_{j\in J} c_j L_{z_j} H^\vee | W(L^\infty, Y) \Big\| \\ &\le \|(c_i)_{i\in I}\;|Y_d\| \|H^\vee \mid W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)\| \le C\|f\;|\mathrm{Co}Y\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we formulate our main result on the boundedness of operators on coorbit spaces.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that $g \in \mathbb{B}_w$ and that $\{\pi(x_i)g\}_{i\in I}$ forms a Banach frame for $\mathrm{Co}Y$ with canonical dual frame $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ (as guaranteed by Theorem 2.5).*

Assume that the operator T is bounded from $\mathcal{H}^1_w$ to $(\mathcal{H}^1_w)^\urcorner$ and that T maps the atoms $\pi(x_i)g, i \in I$, to the set of molecules $m_i = T(\pi(x_i)g)$ with envelope $H \in W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)$. Then T extends to a bounded operator on $\mathrm{Co}Y$. Furthermore, the operator norm of T is bounded by $\|H \mid W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)\|$.

Proof. For $f = \sum_{i\in I} c_i \pi(x_i)g$, we would like to define $Tf = \sum_{i\in I} c_i T(\pi(x_i)g) = \sum_{i\in I} c_i m_i$. Lemma 3.4 then yields the correct norm estimates. However, in general, the representation of f with respect to $\{\pi(x_i)g\}$ is not unique, therefore we have to show that the natural extension procedure is unique.

`Step 1.` First we define a canonical extension $\widetilde{T}$ of T to $\mathrm{Co}Y$ via the frame expansion of f. Let $e_i \in \mathcal{H}^1_w$ be the dual frame of $\pi(x_i)g, i \in I$, the existence of which is asserted in Theorem 2.5(C). Then $f \in \mathrm{Co}Y$ has the expansion $f = \sum_{i\in I} \langle f, e_i\rangle \pi(x_i)g$ with coefficient sequence $(\langle f, e_i\rangle)_{i\in I} \in Y_d$ and

$$\|(\langle f, e_i\rangle)_{i\in I}\;|Y_d\| \le C\|f\;|\mathrm{Co}Y\|, \tag{10}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of f. We define $\widetilde{T}f$ by

$$\widetilde{T}f = \sum_{i\in I} \langle f, e_i\rangle T(\pi(x_i)g) = \sum_{i\in I} \langle f, e_i\rangle m_i. \tag{11}$$

By Lemma 3.4(ii) we find that $\widetilde{T}f$ is in $\mathrm{Co}Y$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{T}f\;|\mathrm{Co}Y\| &\le C\|(\langle f, e_i\rangle)_{i\in I}\;|Y_d\| \|H \mid W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)\| \\ &\le C'\|H \mid W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)\| \|f\;|\mathrm{Co}Y\|. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Furthermore, the series defining $\widetilde{T}f$ converges unconditionally in $\mathrm{Co}Y$, if L^∞_0 is dense in Y, and w^* in $(\mathcal{H}^1_w)^\urcorner$ otherwise.

`Step 2:` It remains to be shown that $\widetilde{T}$ coincides with T on $\mathcal{H}^1_w$. Here we exploit the assumed continuity of T from $\mathcal{H}^1_w$ to $(\mathcal{H}^1_w)^\urcorner$. This means that the convergence

$f_n \to f$ in $\mathcal{H}^1_w$ implies the w^*-convergence $Tf_n \to Tf$. In particular, for $\pi(x_i)g$ the net of partial sums

$$f_F = \sum_{k\in F} \langle \pi(x_i)g, e_k\rangle \pi(x_k)g$$

converges to $\pi(x_i)g$ as $F \to I$, where (F) is the net of finite subsets of I ordered by inclusion. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} m_i &= T(\pi(x_i)g) = w^* - \lim Tf_F \\ &= w^* - \lim_{F\to I} \sum_{k\in F} \langle \pi(x_i)g, e_k\rangle T(\pi(x_i)g) \\ &= w^* - \lim_{F\to I} \sum_{k\in F} \langle \pi(x_i)g, e_k\rangle m_k \qquad (13) \\ &= \widetilde{T}((\pi(x_i)g))\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since the m_k's are molecules, the series in (13) converges also in $\mathcal{H}^1_w$ by Lemma 3.4. The identity $T(\pi(x_i)g) = \widetilde{T}(\pi(x_i)g)$ implies that $Tf = \widetilde{T}f$ whenever $f = \sum_{i\in I} c_i \pi(x_i)g$ and $(c_i)_{i\in I} \in \ell^1_w$.

We now take $\widetilde{T}$ as the desired extension of T from $\mathcal{H}^1_w$ to CoY. By Step 1 this extension is bounded on CoY. This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.6. We observe that Theorem 3.5 asserts the simultaneous boundedness of T on all coorbit spaces CoY that possess the same associated weight w given in (1).

4. EXAMPLES AND APPLICATIONS

4.1. **The Heisenberg Group and Time-Frequency Molecules.** We now describe the consequences of Theorem 3.5 in the context of time-frequency molecules. Time-frequency molecules were introduced in [18, Section 5.3] and independently in [1] and were studied in detail in [20, Section 7].

We first discuss how the modulation spaces fit into coorbit space setting. We consider the d-dimensional reduced Heisenberg group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{H}} = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}$ with multiplication

$$(x,\omega,\tau)(x',\omega',\tau') = (x+x', \omega+\omega', \tau\tau' e^{\pi i(x'\cdot\omega - x\cdot\omega')}).$$

Let $T_x f(t) = f(t-x)$ and $M_\omega f(t) = e^{2\pi i t\cdot\omega} f(t)$ be the operators of translation and modulation, respectively, and π be the Schrödinger representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{H}}$ acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by time-frequency shifts

$$\pi(x,\omega,\tau) := \tau e^{\pi i x\cdot\omega} T_x M_\omega = \tau e^{-\pi i x\cdot\omega} M_\omega T_x.$$

This is an irreducible unitary and square-integrable representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{H}}$. Except for a trivial phase factor the representation coefficient $V_g f(x,\omega,\tau) = \langle f, \pi(x,\omega,\tau)g\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ coincides with the Short-Time Fourier Transform (STFT) given by

$$\mathrm{STFT}_g f(x,\omega) = \langle f, M_\omega T_x g\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t)\overline{g(t-x)} e^{-2\pi i\omega\cdot t}\mathrm{d}t\,, \qquad (14)$$

whenever the integral makes sense. Otherwise, we fix $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and extend the STFT to tempered distributions $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by interpreting the bracket $\langle f, g\rangle$ as a dual pairing between an element $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For more information on the STFT the reader is referred to [17].

We take the liberty to drop the center $\{0\} \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{T}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and consider function spaces on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ instead of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{H}}$. As a standard example we take the mixed-norm spaces $L^{p,q}_m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ and some w-moderate weight function m on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ with the norm

$$\|F \;|L^{p,q}_m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})\| \;:=\; \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|F(x,\omega)|^p m(x,\omega)^p \mathrm{d}x\right)^{q/p}\mathrm{d}\omega\right)^{1/q}.$$

The modulation spaces are obtained as the coorbits of $L^{p,q}_m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with respect to the Schrödinger representation π

$$M^{p,q}_m(\mathbb{R}^d) \;=\; \mathrm{Co}L^{p,q}_m(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \;=\; \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d): \quad \mathrm{STFT}_g f \in L^{p,q}_m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})\}.$$

for fixed non-zero $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For the Heisenberg group many technical subtleties of the general set-up of coorbit space theory disappear. For instance $W(L^\infty, L^1_w)(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{H}}) = W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{H}})$ and

$$\mathbb{B}_w = \mathbb{A}_w = M^{1,1}_w(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$$

(cf. [7, Lemma 7.2]). As long as w and m have polynomial growth, one may use the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{A}_w$ as a convenient space of test functions.

In the context of modulation spaces and the Heisenberg group, the natural discrete sets are lattices, i.e., discrete co-compact subgroups of the form $\Lambda = A\mathbb{Z}^{2d}$ for some invertible $2d \times 2d$-matrix A. Let $G(g, \Lambda) := \{\pi(\lambda)g : \; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the orbit of g under Λ (a so-called *Gabor system*).

Given a symbol $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, the pseudodifferential operator σ^w is informally given by

$$\sigma^w f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{\sigma}(\xi, u) e^{-\pi i \xi u} T_{-u} M_\xi f \;\mathrm{d}u\;\mathrm{d}\xi,$$

whenever the integral makes sense, otherwise it is interpreted in the weak sense. The mapping $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^w$ is called the Weyl transform.

The abstract Definition 3.1 can be rephrased as follows (cf. [18] and [20, Definition 7.1]).

Definition 4.1. Fix a non-zero $g \in M^{1,1}_w(\mathbb{R}^d)$. A collection of functions $\{m_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ forms a *set of time-frequency molecules*, if there exists a function $H \in L^1_w(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{H}})$ such that

$$|\langle m_\lambda, \pi(z)g\rangle| \le H(z-\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda\,.$$

In our language, the main theorem of [19] (cf. also [20, Proposition 7.1]) can be formulated as follows. [We write j for the rotation mapping $j(z_1, z_2) = (z_2, -z_1)$ with $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$.]

Proposition 4.2. *Fix a non-zero* $g \in M^{1,1}_w$ *and suppose that* $\mathcal{G}(g, \Lambda)$ *is a Gabor frame for* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$*. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) $\sigma \in M^{\infty,1}_{w\circ j^{-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

(ii) *There exists a function* $H \in L^1_w(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ *such that*

$$|\langle \sigma^w \pi(w)g, \pi(z)g\rangle| \le H(z-w), \qquad w, z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}\,.$$

(iii) *There is a function* $H \in W(L^\infty, L^1_w)(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ *such that the corresponding pseudodifferential operator* σ^w *maps the time-frequency shifts* $\{\pi(\lambda)g\}$ *to time-frequency molecules* $\{m_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ *in the sense of Definition 4.1 with envelope function* H.

Proof. The equivalence $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$ was proved in [18].

Let $m_\lambda = \sigma^w(\pi(\lambda)g)$, then by (ii) we have $|\langle m_\lambda, \pi(z)g\rangle| \le H(z-\lambda)$, and thus the set of $m_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$, is a set of time-frequency molecules in the sense of Definition 4.1.

Conversely, if $m_\lambda = \sigma^w(\pi(\lambda)g)$ is a set of molecules, then we have $|\langle \sigma^w(\pi(\lambda)g), \pi(\mu)g\rangle| \le H(\mu-\lambda)$. Again, by [19] this property implies that $\sigma \in M^{\infty,1}_{w\circ j^{-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. ☐

Since the modulation spaces are the coorbit spaces for the Schrödinger representation, Theorem 3.5 now implies the boundedness of pseudodifferential operators with symbol in $M^{\infty,1}_{w\circ j^{-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ on a large class of modulation spaces. See [17, Thm. 14.5.6] and [25] for different proofs.

Corollary 4.3. *If* $\sigma \in M^{\infty,1}_{w\circ j^{-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, *then* σ^w *is bounded simultaneously on all modulation spaces* $M^{p,q}_m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *for* $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ *and every* w*-moderate weight function* m.

4.2. **The Affine Group and Time-Scale Molecules.** We next consider the affine group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{A}} = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with multiplication $(x,s)\cdot(x',s') = (x+sx', ss')$ for $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $s, s' > 0$. Let the dilation operator be given by $D_s f(x) = s^{-d/2} f(s^{-1}x)$ with $s > 0$. A unitary representation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{A}}$ acts on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by translations and dilations:

$$\pi(x,s)g(t) = T_x D_s g(t) = s^{-d/2} g\left(\frac{t-x}{s}\right).$$

This representation is square-integrable but reducible. Nevertheless it possesses an abundance of admissible vectors g for which the reproducing formula (6) holds. Another way to deal with the reducibility is to study the extended affine group $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times SO(d)$ and its representations $\pi_1(x,s,R)f(t) = s^{-d/2} f(s^{-1}R^{-1}(t-x))$ with $R \in SO(d)$. Then π_1 is irreducible. For rotation-invariant functions g we have $\pi_1(x,s,R)g = \pi(x,s)g$, so we may as well work with the reducible π. The representation coefficients of π are nothing but the continuous wavelet transform, which is defined by

$$W_g f(x,s) = \langle f, \pi(x,s)g\rangle = s^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t)\overline{g\left(\frac{t-x}{s}\right)}\mathrm{d}t$$

for $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), g \neq 0$.

We first identify the coorbit spaces with respect to the representation π of $\mathcal{G}_A$. Let $1 \le p, q < \infty$ and $w(x,s) = s^{-\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. The mixed norm space $L^{p,q}_\sigma(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{A}})$ is defined by the norm

$$\|F\ |L^{p,q}_\sigma(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{A}})\| = \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |F(x,s)|^p \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{q/p} s^{-\sigma q} \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{s^{d+1}}\right)^{1/q}$$

with the usual modifications when $p = \infty$ or$q = \infty$.

Recall the classical definition of the homogenous Besov spaces. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mathrm{supp}(\varphi) \subset \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \ |y| < 2\}$ and $\varphi(x) = 1$ if $|x| \le 1$ and set $\varphi_j(x) = \varphi(2^{-j}x) - \varphi(2^{-j+1}x)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $1 \le p, q \le \infty$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, the homogenous Besov space $\dot{B}^\sigma_{pq}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of all tempered distribution modulo polynomials $f \in \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\big\|f\ |\dot{B}^\sigma_{pq}(\mathbb{R}^d)\big\| = \left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{j\sigma q} \big\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_j \widehat{f})|L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)\big\|^q\right)^{1/q} \tag{15}$$

is finite, with the usual modification for $q = \infty$. A result of Triebel [28] yields the equivalent norm on $\dot{B}^\sigma_{pq}(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\left(\int_0^\infty s^{-q(\sigma+d/2-d/q)} \|W_g f(\cdot,s)\ |L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)\|^q \frac{\mathrm{d}s}{s^{d+1}}\right)^{1/q} = \big\|W_g f\ |L^{p,q}_{\sigma+d/2-d/q}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{A}})\big\|.$$

Triebel's result reveals that the homogenous Besov spaces coincide with some coorbits spaces of the affine group $\mathcal{G}_A$. More precisely,

$$\dot{B}^\sigma_{pq}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathrm{Co}(L^{p,q}_{\sigma+d/2-d/q}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{A}})).$$

Next we compare classical molecules as in [11] and the coorbit molecules according to Definition 3.1. Let us start by describing the classical molecules. For $k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, a dyadic cube is given by $Q = Q_{jk} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : k_i \le 2^j x_i < k_i + 1\}$. Its left corner is $x_Q = x_{Q_{jk}} = 2^{-j}k$, its side length $\ell(Q) = \ell(Q_{jk}) = 2^{-j}$, and its volume is $|Q| = 2^{-jd}$. For $M, N \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ a classical smooth (M,N)-molecule associated to a dyadic cube Q is a function m_Q satisfying the estimates

$$|\mathrm{D}^\alpha m_Q(x)| \le |Q|^{-1/2-|\alpha|/d} \left\{1 + \frac{|x - x_Q|}{l(Q)}\right\}^{-M} \quad \text{for} \quad |\alpha| \le M,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{16}$$

and the moment conditions

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x^\beta m_Q(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |\beta| \le N. \tag{17}$$

This notion of a classical smooth molecule goes back to [8], see also [9–11].[The atoms in classical analysis are defined similarly with the decay condition (16) being replaced by an appropriate support condition.] To understand how the conditions (16) and (17) can be expressed by the wavelet transform, we note that the decay condition (16) can be rephrased as

$$|\mathrm{D}^\alpha m_{Q_{jk}}(x)| \le 2^{jd/2+j|\alpha|}(1 + |2^j x - k|)^{-M} \quad \text{for} \quad |\alpha| \le M. \tag{18}$$

and the moment conditions (17) as

$$\widehat{m_{Q_{jk}}}(\xi) \le C_n\ |\xi|^n, \quad |\xi| \to 0, \text{ for all } n \le N.$$

The next proposition describes the decay of wavelet transform of the classical molecules.

Proposition 4.4. *Let g and f satisfy the conditions* (18) *with $j = k = 0$ and* (17)*. Then there are numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on M, N and a constant $C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} > 0$ such that*

$$|W_g f(x, s)| \le C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} s^\alpha (1 + s)^{-\beta}(1 + |x|)^{-\gamma}. \tag{19}$$

By improving the quality of the window we can achieve a stronger result.

Remark 4.5. In particular, if $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has all moments vanishing, then for every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant $C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} > 0$ such that

$$|W_g g(x, s)| \le C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} s^\alpha (1 + s)^{-\beta}(1 + |x|)^{-\gamma}. \tag{20}$$

Proposition 4.4 is due to Holschneider [22], though the result is somewhat hidden in the proofs of his Theorems 11.0.2, 12.0.1, and 19.0.1. ([22] uses a different normalization of the wavelet transform and treats the dimensions $d = 1$ and $d > 1$ separately).

The next proposition clarifies the relation between classical molecules and coorbit molecules for the affine group.

Proposition 4.6. *Fix a weight function w on $\mathcal{G}_A$. Then for M, N sufficiently large, every set of (M, N)-molecules $(m_{Q_{jk}})$ is a set of coorbit molecules in the sense of Definition 3.1.*

Proof. Note that the dyadic cube $Q_{jk} = 2^{-j}(k + [0, 1]^d)$ is attached to the point $x_{jk} = (2^{-j}k, 2^{-j}) \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{A}}$. To show that $(m_{Q_{jk}})$ is a set of coorbit molecules with envelope function H, we need to show that

$$|W_g m_{Q_{jk}}(x, s)| \le H((2^{-j}k, 2^{-j})^{-1}(x, s)) = H(2^j x - k, 2^j s).$$

In view of estimate (19), the natural candidate for an envelope H is the function

$$H(x, s) = s^\alpha (1 + s)^{-\beta}(1 + |x|)^{-\gamma}$$

with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on M, N. Our task is to show that $H \in W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)$. We must first estimate the local maximum function $F^R_\sharp$ of H. We set $U = B(0, a)\times$

$[b^{-1}, b]$ with $a > 0$ and $b > 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
F_\sharp^R(x,s) &= \sup_{(u,v)\in U^{-1}(x,s)^{-1}} |H(u,v)| = \sup_{(y,r)\in U} H((y,r)^{-1}((x,s)^{-1}) \\
&= \sup_{(y,r)\in U} \left| H\left(-\frac{x+sy}{sr}, \frac{1}{sr}\right)\right| = \sup_{(y,r)\in U} \left(\frac{1}{sr}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{1}{sr}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\frac{|x+sy|}{sr}\right)^{-\gamma} \\
&= \sup_{y\in B(0,a)} \sup_{r\in[b^{-1},b]} (sr)^{-\alpha+\beta}(1+sr)^{-\beta}\left(1+|x/sr+y/r|\right)^{-\gamma} \\
&\le C_b \sup_{y\in B(0,a)} s^{-\alpha+\beta}(1+s)^{-\beta}\left(1+|x/s+y|\right)^{-\gamma} \\
&\le C_{ab} s^{-\alpha+\beta}(1+s)^{-\beta}\left(1+|x/s|\right)^{-\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last estimate the moderateness of the weight $(1+|\cdot|)^{-\gamma}$ has been used.

The $W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)$-norm of H is then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|H|W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)\| &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_0^\infty F_\sharp^R(x,s)s^{-\sigma}\mathrm{d}x\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{s^{d+1}} \\
&\le C_{ab}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}} s^{-\alpha+\beta}(1+s)^{-\beta}\left(1+|x/s|\right)^{-\gamma}\mathrm{d}x\frac{\mathrm{d}s}{s^{d+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

and this integral converges, if $\gamma > d$ and $\beta > \alpha+\sigma > 0$. □

Finally we apply Theorem 3.5 to study the boundedness of Hilbert transform on homogenous Besov spaces. Recall that the Hilbert transform $\mathbf{H}$ of a function f is given by

$$\mathbf{H}f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{|t|\ge\varepsilon}\frac{f(x-t)}{t}\mathrm{d}t,$$

provided that the limit exists. The boundedness of $\mathbf{H}$ on Besov spaces follows from Fourier multiplier theorems for Besov spaces, e.g., [27], or from Lemarié's work on Calderòn-Zygmund operators on Besov spaces [23]. Here we show that it is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.5.

Proposition 4.7. *Let* $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ *and* $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. *Then the Hilbert transform is bounded on* $\dot{B}^\sigma_{pq}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. We choose a basis function $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\hat{g} \subseteq \{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : 1/2 \le |\omega| \le 2\}$ and $\{\pi(2^{-j}k, 2^{-j}g : j,k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Banach frame for $\dot{B}^\sigma_{pq}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since the Hilbert transform commutes with all translations T_x and dilations D_s, i.e., $\mathbf{H}(T_xD_s)f(t) = T_xD_s(\mathbf{H}f)(t)$ $\mathbf{H}$ maps the frame $\pi(2^{-j}k, 2^{-j})g$ into atoms $\pi(2^{-j}k, 2^{-j})\mathbf{H}g$. Therefore it suffices to prove that $W_g\mathbf{H}g \in W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)(\mathcal{G}_A)$ where $w(x,s) = s^{-\sigma+d/2-d/q}$, then $K = |W_g\mathbf{H}g|$ serves as an envelope for which (8) holds and $\mathbf{H}(\pi(2^{-j}k, 2^{-j}))g, j,k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a set of molecules. Since both g and $\mathbf{H}g$ are in $\mathcal{S}$ with all vanishing moments, estimate (19) and the proof of Proposition 4.6 show that $W_g\mathbf{H}g \in W^R(L^\infty, L^1_w)(\mathcal{G}_A)$. □

References

[1] R. M. Balan, P. G. Casazza, C. Heil, Z. Landau. Density, overcompleteness, and localization of frames II: Gabor frames. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 12(3):307–344, 2006.

[2] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg, H. M. Taibleson, G. Weiss. *Introduction. Representation theorems for Hardy spaces,* pp. 1–9, Astrisque, 77, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1980.

[3] R. R. Coifman. A real variable characterization of H^p. *Studia Math.*, 51:269–274, 1974.

[4] S. Dahlke, G. Kutyniok, G. Steidl, and G. Teschke. Shearlet coorbit spaces and associated banach frames. *Preprint*, 2007.

[5] H. G. Feichtinger, K. Gröchenig. A unified approach to atomic decompositions via integrable group representations, In: *Proc. Conference on Functions, Spaces and Applications, Lund, 1986*, Springer Lect. Notes Math., 1302, 52–73, 1988.

[6] H. G. Feichtinger, K. Gröchenig. Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, I. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 86:307–340, 1989.

[7] H. G. Feichtinger, K. Gröchenig. Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, II. *Monatsh. Math.*, 108:129–148, 1989.

[8] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth. Decomposition of Besov Spaces. *Indiana University Math. J.*, 34 (1985) no. 4, 777-799.

[9] M. Frazier and B. Jawerth. A discrete transform and decompositions of distribution spaces. *J. Functional Anal.*, 93(1):34–170, 1990.

[10] M. Frazier, Y.-S. Han, B. Jawerth, and G. Weiss. The $T1$ theorem for Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. In *Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations (El Escorial, 1987)*, volume 1384 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 168–181. Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[11] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, G. Weiss. *Littlewood-Paley theory and the study of function spaces.* CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 79. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991. viii+132 pp.

[12] H. Führ. *Abstract harmonic analysis of continuous wavelet transforms.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1863. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.

[13] L. Grafakos. *Classical and Modern Fourier Analysis.* Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2004.

[14] L. Grafakos, R. Torres. Pseudodifferential operators with homogeneous symbols. *Michigan Math. J.*, 46 (1999), no. 2, 261–269.

[15] K. Gröchenig. Describing functions: atomic decompositions versus frames. *Monatsh. Math.*, 112(3):1–41, 1991.

[16] K. Gröchenig, E. Kaniuth, K. F. Taylor. Compact open sets in duals and projections in L^1-algebras of certain semi-direct product groups. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 111 (1992), no. 3, 545–556.

[17] K. Gröchenig. *Foundations of time-frequency analysis.* Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.

[18] K. Gröchenig. Localization of Frames, Banach Frames, and the Invertibility of the Frame Operator. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 10(2):105–132, 2004.

[19] K. Gröchenig. Time-Frequency Analysis of Sjöstrand's class. *Revista Mat. Iberoam.*, 22(2):703–724, 2006.

[20] K. Gröchenig, Z. Rzeszotnik. Banach algebras of pseudodifferential operators and their almost diagonalization. *Ann. Inst. Fourier.*, to appear.

[21] A. Grossmann, J. Morlet, and T. Paul. Transforms associated to square integrable group representations. I. General results. *J. Math. Phys.*, 26(10):2473–2479, 1985.

[22] M. Holschneider. *Wavelets, An Analysis Tool.* Oxford University Press, 1995.

[23] P. G. Lemarié. Continuité sur les espaces de Besov des opérateurs définis par des intégrales singulières. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 35(4):175–187, 1985.

[24] E. M. Stein. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals.* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III.

[25] J. Toft. Continuity properties for modulation spaces, with applications to pseudo-differential calculus. II. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 26(1):73–106, 2004.

[26] R. H. Torres. Boundedness results for operators with singular kernels on distribution spaces. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 90(442):viii+172, 1991.

[27] H. Triebel. *Theory of function spaces.* Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.

[28] H. Triebel. Characterizations of Besov-Hardy-Sobolev spaces: A unified approach. *J. Approx. Theory*, 52(2):162–203, 1988.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, NORDBERGSTRASSE 15, A-1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA
E-mail address: `karlheinz.groechenig@univie.ac.at`

E-mail address: `mariusz.piotrowski@univie.ac.at`